



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/733,161	12/08/2000	Cameron G. Rouns	BAL-36	2368
751	90 08/19/2004		EXAMINER	
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. 55 BEATTIE PLACE			LAM, ANN Y	
STE. 1600 GREENVILLE, SC 29601-2131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			£) A TE M A II ED: 08/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/733,161	ROUNS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ann Y. Lam	1641				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		t with the correspondence address				
Period fo	r Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, maion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum o period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 June 2004.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 13-26 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-12 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the specification is objected to by the Example 1 is/are: a) is/are: a)	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer.] accepted or b) ○ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by to	correction is required if the draw	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	` '	_					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date	18) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges that an appeal brief has been submitted. Upon further consideration, Examiner finds Applicant's arguments to be persuasive and has indicated allowable subject matter as indicated below. However, a further search has yielded the following prior art which renders claim 1 obvious as discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shermeta, 3,915,171, in view of Gau et al., 5,084,061.

Shermeta discloses the invention substantially as claimed. More specifically, Shermeta discloses a gastrostomy feeding device comprising a tube (10) and an anchoring means (25) mounted on the tube, wherein said anchoring means has at least one internal retaining member (col. 2, lines 44-46.)

Shermeta discloses that the gastrostomy tube is utilized to supply and remove fluids from the stomach (col. 1, lines 9-10.) However, Shermeta does not disclose that the material retaining member is comprised of phenyl-modified silicone.

Application/Control Number: 09/733,161

Art Unit: 1641

Gau teaches an intragastric balloon that is intended to be inserted into the stomach (col. 1, lines 23-25.) Gau also teaches that the balloon is made of silicone containing phenyl groups (col. 4, lines 10-12.)

It would have been obvious to form the Shermeta anchoring means (which is essentially a balloon) using phenyl-modified silicone as taught by Gau since Gau teaches that a balloon intended for the stomach can be formed of silicone containing phenyl groups.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-26 are allowed.

Claims 2-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-0822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Sat 11-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. (//

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800-76-97

8/17/04

Christople L. Chin